

GIS-BASED SPATIAL ANALYSIS OF THE KAKASVÁR ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE

ANDRÁS DOBAI^{1,*}, TAMÁS DEÁK², ANDRÁS GÖMÖRI³, ENDRE DOBOS⁴

^{1,*} *Institute of Geography and Geoinformatics, University of Miskolc;*

andras.dobai@uni-miskolc.hu

² *Institute of Geography and Geoinformatics, University of Miskolc;*

tamas.deak@uni-miskolc.hu

³ *Institute of Geography and Geoinformatics, University of Miskolc;*

gomori.andras@student.uni-miskolc.hu

⁴ *Institute of Geography and Geoinformatics, University of Miskolc;*

endre.dobos@uni-miskolc.hu

¹<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5268-0728>

²<https://orcid.org/0009-0001-1360-4159>

³<https://orcid.org/0009-0001-4323-5796>

⁴<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9798-6376>

Abstract: The Battle of Muhi (1241), widely regarded as a major national catastrophe in Hungarian history, has been a focal point of archaeological research for over seven centuries. Investigating the battlefield landscape and the associated 13th-century settlement system requires an interdisciplinary approach. This study presents a new GIS-based analytical method that integrates a high-resolution light detection and ranging (LiDAR)-derived digital elevation model and a Relative Elevation Model (REM), which were applied to enhance subtle micro-topographic contrasts within the low-relief floodplain environment. These tools were used to reconstruct former hydrological systems, enabling the precise spatial identification of the Kakasvár, which may have held regional historical significance.

Keywords: *GIS, Battle of Muhi, LiDAR, REM, spatial analysis, hydrological datasets*

1. INTRODUCTION

Research on the Battle of Muhi has constituted a defining component of archaeological and historical scholarship for more than a century. Over recent decades, this field has undergone a marked methodological shift towards increasingly integrative and data-driven approaches. Non-destructive survey techniques (e.g., metal-detecting survey), community archaeology, and LiDAR-based terrain modelling have become integral elements of archaeological research. These approaches have significantly expanded both the empirical basis and the interpretative potential of battlefield investigations (Laszlovszky J., 2003; P. Szabó S., 2022). As a result, a growing corpus of professional databases and synthetic studies is now available (Négyesi L., 1997; Wolf M., 2014; Laszlovszky J. et al., 2014; Pusztai T. 2014). Today, the events of the battle, which took place in the mid-

thirteenth century, are documented through detailed and multidimensional analyses focusing on battlefield reconstruction, contemporary settlement networks, and a range of related thematic questions. Despite these advances, significant research potential remains unexploited, particularly regarding the systematic analysis of foreign textual sources (Laszlovsky J. *et al.*, 2014; P. Szabó S., 2022). At the same time, the comprehensive investigation of the entire battlefield remains an open research challenge, for which advanced digital methodologies may provide promising solutions (Laszlovsky J. and Rácz T. 2020). One possible response to this demand is provided by the methodology of Archaeological GIS, or Archaeo-GIS for short (Sarris, A. 2024). To date, the number of GIS-based studies related to the Battle of Muhi remains limited. Existing works have primarily focused on descriptive and visual analyses or relatively simple spatial queries. Notably absent are studies employing complex spatial databases, surface-related indices, or explicitly formulated analytical questions, such as those addressing catchment areas, hydrological networks, or terrain-driven accessibility. These limitations can be attributed in part to the high cost or restricted availability of high-resolution digital elevation models and other relevant spatial datasets (e.g. flood inundation boundaries or flood models), as well as to the specialised expertise required to extract meaningful information from such data. Consequently, the analytical potential of GIS-based approaches and associated spatial databases has not yet been fully exploited in research on the battle. The present study introduce a further stage in a multi-phase research programme. The project integrates physical geographical and archaeological data through the application of advanced GIS techniques. While closely connected to other ongoing investigations, its primary objective is not to intervene directly in existing archaeological interpretations, but rather to explore spatial and environmental relationships using the Archaeo-GIS methodologies. The study therefore focuses on identifying environmental and spatial patterns (particularly those related to terrain morphology, hydrology, and accessibility) and on applying methodological solutions that may contribute to a more detailed contextualisation of archaeological data. In addition, this study asks whether REM-enhanced LiDAR and Archaeo-GIS techniques can reliably identify anthropogenic micro-topography, thereby enabling a more precise localisation of human-made features and their discrimination from natural fluvial forms.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study area

The study area (Figure 1) is located within the Sajó–Hernád Plain. The mesoregion is an alluvial fan plain situated at an elevation between 89.5–160 m a.s.l. Its northern part lies at a lower elevation, while the southern areas rise island-like by 8–10 m higher. The surface morphology has been shaped primarily by the Sajó and Hernád rivers. As a result of fluvial erosion, the area has developed into a piedmont-type landscape dissected by low interfluvies, with an average relief of approximately 5 m/km². The Muhi Plain, forming the floodplain area of the two rivers, is

characterized by low relative relief and consists of a gently undulating plain covered by loess deposits (Dövényi Z., 2010). The geological evolution of the area is highly complex; however, this study focuses on the key stages relevant to the formation of the Sajó alluvial fan. The development of this section of the Sajó Valley was strongly influenced by the structural evolution of the Bükk Mountains and the Cserhát Hills, as well as by their tectonic changes and the northwestward shift of the Tisza River during the Pleistocene. The formation of the present-day channel orientation of the Sajó River was largely controlled by the gradual but intensive uplift of the northern foreland of the Bükk Mountains, which began in the Miocene (Gábris Gy., 1970).




Figure 1.
Location of the study area

The establishment of the main channel direction of the Sajó started in the Late Miocene and continued into the Pliocene following Miocene volcanism (Mike K., 1972; Láng S., 1944). During this period, the Sajó and Hernád rivers joined the Tisza

approximately 120 km south of their present confluence (Vadász E., 1960; Somogyi S., 1961). Due to the Pleistocene uplift of the initial section of the Sajó Valley, the river began gradual incision north of the Miskolc Gate, while strong aggradation commenced toward the south–southeast during the Pleistocene (Láng S., 1944). As a result of these processes, the lowland alluvial fan constructed by the two rivers was formed (Franyó F., 1966). During this period, the Sajó built an alluvial fan extending far into the Great Hungarian Plain. Surface aggradation of this fan was largely completed by the end of the Pleistocene, whereas sediment accumulation within the valleys continued until the Early Holocene. The development of the present hydrographic network of the Sajó River dates to the Holocene and, based on previous studies, is estimated to be approximately 5000 years old (Láng S., 1944). During the same period, both the Sajó and the Hernád rivers began to incise into their own alluvial fan deposits (Dövényi Z., 2010). The unified alluvial fan extends across the entire mesoregion. Its apex is located near Miskolc, and it stretches approximately 30 km southward with an average width of about 3 km (Dövényi Z., 2010; Csoma J., 1972). The section of the alluvial fan can be subdivided into several units representing different channel generations (Figure 2).




Figure 2

Palaeodrainage directions on the Sajó alluvial fan during the Late Pleistocene–Holocene (Gábris Gy. 1970)

These form three distinct levels, separated by two phases of incision. The individual levels are delineated by well-defined erosional scarps. From the perspective of this study, the third channel-generation unit is of particular importance, as it demonstrates that during the subboreal humid phase of the Holocene, the Sajó–Hernád river system already flowed within its present channel. In subsequent periods, increased precipitation led to renewed incision into the alluvial fan on two occasions; however, neither the Sajó from the east nor the Hejő Stream from the west was able to completely breach the fan (Csoma J., 1972). Traces of these incision phases have been preserved despite aeolian modification and can be clearly identified on aerial imagery. The climate of the mesoregion is moderately warm and dry. The annual duration of sunshine ranges between 1850 and 1900 hours. Mean annual air temperature varies from 9.3–9.6 °C in the northern part of the area to 9.7–9.9 °C in the southern part. Approximately 60% of the annual precipitation falls between May and October, with maximum values occurring in June and minimum values in January–February. The spatial distribution of annual precipitation ranges between 540 and 580 mm. The aridity index is 1.20 in the northern part of the region and 1.30 in the southern part. The prevailing wind direction is from the north to northwest, with an average wind speed of 2.5 m/s (Dövényi Z., 2010). Soil types within the area show considerable spatial variability. Soils in the Sajó Valley are predominantly acidic, whereas those along the Hernád River are carbonate-rich or weakly acidic. On gravelly substrates, alluvial meadow soils and meadow soils have developed, with small patches of saline soils and steppe-like meadow solonetz soils. On loess-covered terraces, meadow chernozems and calcareous meadow chernozems are dominant, while brown forest soils occur toward the piedmont surfaces. Land use in the region is largely agricultural and closely reflects the natural environmental conditions described above (Dövényi Z., 2010).

2.2. Review of previous GIS-based studies in the study area

As an initial step, all available cartographic sources of the study area (land-use maps, historical military surveys, flood inundation boundaries) were integrated into a GIS database. A drainage network of the wider study area was derived from the Hungarian Water Directorate's digital elevation model (HydroDEM) with a spatial resolution of 5 m DEM, which, after filling surface artefacts (fill), was suitable for representing surface runoff. A 5 m spatial resolution DEM was selected as an optimal compromise between spatial detail and noise reduction in the low-relief floodplain environment. While higher-resolution datasets (e.g., 1 m) provide greater micro-topographic detail, preliminary tests indicated that they also amplify local surface irregularities related to vegetation remnants, ploughing patterns, and minor anthropogenic disturbances, which may obscure broader geomorphological structures. Conversely, coarser resolutions (e.g., 10 m) resulted in the loss of subtle elevation differences critical for identifying low-amplitude fluvial and anthropogenic landforms. The 5 m resolution therefore ensured sufficient sensitivity to micro-relief variations while maintaining geomorphological interpretability at the landscape scale. Based on these datasets, a geospatial framework was established for

an area of approximately 140 km², including sub-catchments associated with the Hejő, Szinva and Sajó watercourses, as well as a vector dataset of flood inundation heights was obtained from the Flood Risk Management Plan prepared by the Hungarian Water Directorate (ÉMVIZIG, 2014), representing the maximum inundation extent of the 2010 flood event. Areas not affected by the Highest recorded Flood Level (HFL) were delineated and interpreted as permanently dry zones under present hydrological conditions. These areas were proposed as potential targets for archaeological research. While several zones coincide with previously investigated sites, additional areas were newly identified (Dobai A. and Dobos E., 2022). The spatial distribution of these zones corresponds well with the known structure of early medieval settlement networks, characterized by inter-settlement distances of approximately 2-3 km (P. Fischl K. and Pusztai T., 2018). Building upon these results, the second step of the research involved hydrological analyses focusing on narrower areas considered key for investigating the presumed site of the Battle of Muhi and early medieval settlement patterns. These analyses were conducted using a corrected DEM, from which present-day surface features (e.g., linear infrastructure) were manually removed, resulting in a new DEM. The new database was supplemented with DEM-derived parameters, including slope gradient, surface flow accumulation, and the topographic wetness index (TWI). In addition, sub-catchments associated with right-bank outflow points of the Sajó River were identified and analysed (Dobai A. and Dobos E., 2023).

The results demonstrate that the extracted drainage branches are not artefacts produced by flow accumulation algorithms, but represent real, former drainage paleochannels. Along the Hungarian reach of the Sajó River, floodplain basins are predominantly located on the left bank; however, the investigated Szirma–Sajóörös basin represents a right-bank floodplain system. Within this basin, three former Sajó branches were identified, which may become reactivated during flood events exceeding the HFL. One of these channels, referred to as the Sajó III–Ónod branch, emerges at river km 34 + 100 of the Sajó River, near the flood protection levee of Ónod settlement. The channel crosses Ónod over approximately 3.5 km in a northeast–southwest direction and connects to a southward-flowing channel that does not rejoin the Sajó River. Instead, the multi-branch drainage system can be traced to the administrative border of Szakáld (Dobai A. and Dobos E., 2023). Several segments of these former channels are also identifiable on maps of the First Military Survey. A former confluence of the Bársonyos Stream is located approximately 1.2 km northeast of the Sajó III–Ónod palaeochannel. No research has yet been conducted on the relationship between the two channels. It should be noted that a more detailed survey of the former Hernád catchment warrants a separate investigation, as it reveals extensive, continuous drainage networks that in several locations are connected to the contemporary floodplain of the Hernád River at river kilometres 3 + 500 and 5 + 100. The results of József Laszlovszky's investigation into the shared channel system of the Hernád and Sajó pertain to the area between river kilometre 34 + 000 of the Sajó and 2 + 500 of the Hernád (Laszlovszky J. and Nagy B., 2023). The size of the catchment is also explained by the presence of a

multi-order flow network within the area. Based on the analysis of the vector datasets, it was determined that certain right-bank sections of the river can typically be regarded as suitable for the establishment of bridgeheads providing a river crossing. This suitability is attributed to the presence of the Sajó River's channels (Sajó I, II, III), which, in the absence of modern flood protection structures, drain excess floodwater more efficiently during high-flow events. Consequently, the hydraulic force of the flood wave is reduced, relieving a substantial portion of the riverbanks from flood-related stress. This pattern is corroborated by the flood inundation boundaries recorded by the North Hungarian Water Directorate during the 2010 flood event.

As a third step of the research, the narrowed study areas were subdivided into $3 \text{ km} \times 3 \text{ km}$ zones (A, B, C, D) and $1.5 \text{ km} \times 1.5 \text{ km}$ subzones (A1, B2, C3, etc.), reducing the examined sample area to 36 km^2 . In the following, the steps undertaken for the identification of the site located in subzone D1 are presented (Figure 3).




Figure 3.

Summary map of previous GIS-based analysis in the study area

2.3. Presentation of the new GIS methodology

In the present study, the database containing the previously presented datasets was supplemented with additional raster layers and terrain-descriptive indices. As a first step, different flood stages (Grades I–III), as well as the Highest recorded Flood Level (HFL) of 2010, were delineated on a pre-produced digital elevation model (DEM) provided in geoTIFF format by Envirosense Ltd., derived from a UAV-based LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) survey. The study relied exclusively on this ready-made DEM product because the raw LiDAR point cloud and detailed preprocessing metadata were not accessible. Consequently, the underlying technical parameters could not be fully assessed. These flood inundation boundaries define the spatial extent of floodplain dynamics within the Sajó River corridor and identify areas that are no longer regularly flood-affected. For subsequent analyses, these areas were designated as *stable banks*, adopting terminology from practical water management, a concept essentially equivalent to *high banks* (HGD 147/2010), as this term more precisely captures the functional characteristics relevant to the objectives of the present study. The delineation of stable banks was necessary to enable the measurement of distances between riverbank sections defined by the maximum observed inundation extent and associated discharge conditions. These calculations can provide an adequate basis for identifying potential crossing points along the Sajó River, as such locations may play an important role in analyses of both the 13th century settlement network and issues related to the Battle of Muhi. In addition, a Relative Elevation Model (REM) was generated for the study area. The REM is a spatial data model that represents variations in surface elevation relative to a defined reference level rather than absolute elevation values (John M. R. et al., 1998; Kairis and Rybczyk, 2010). The REM used for geomorphological analysis was computed as the difference between the working DEM and a smoothed floodplain reference surface ($REM = DEM - reference_surface$). The reference surface was produced by applying a low-pass smoothing filter (moving-window mean: 50 m window) to the DEM to remove micro-topographic noise while retaining the regional floodplain slope. To account for the scale-dependent expression of floodplain landforms, additional REM layers were generated using different window sizes. Smaller window sizes (10–20 m) enhanced short-wavelength features such as levees and scroll bars, while intermediate windows (30–60 m) emphasized abandoned channels and depressions. Larger windows (>80–100 m) progressively suppressed local relief and highlighted broader floodplain gradients. This multi-scale approach allowed us to distinguish geomorphological units of different spatial extents and ensured that the interpretation was not biased by a single smoothing scale (Greco, S. et al., 2008). The application of the REM facilitates the identification of geomorphological features such as valleys, ridges, and slopes, and, in combination with the delineated flood inundation boundaries, supports further spatial analyses.

3. RESULTS

The examination of the riverbank zones was conducted based on previously established 2–3 km distance criteria and the results of the Relative Elevation Model

(REM). According to the REM analysis, on the left bank of the Sajó River, approximately 240 m from the river's centerline, 683 m southeast of the Ónod Castle, and 623 m from the remains of the Sajóhídvégi Church, a topographically distinct, isolated landform was identified (Figure 4).




Figure 4.

Result of the REM analysis and location of Kakasvár site

The Sajó–Hernád Plain is generally characterized by a low-relief, monotonous flat surface (Dövényi Z., 2010). In contrast, the investigated landform rises on average about 2 m above its surroundings, showing stronger erosional features on its southern and eastern slopes. It is located within a stagnant flow zone that is only minimally affected by floods, exhibiting flow velocities of merely 0.0–0.5 m/s even under extremely high-water conditions. This velocity range is insufficient to generate channel-forming discharge. The delineation of flow zones is based on sand transport and deposition velocities, providing a suitable basis for interpreting historical channel migration processes in the area (Kiss P., 2018). Based on the I., II., and III. Military Survey maps, as well as archival aerial imagery from fentrol.hu and Google Earth, the area appears to have been continuously forested over an extended period. The landform is situated on the outskirts of Ónod, on plot number 0159, within the area referred to as Kakasvár (Ónod Urban Development Plan, 2014). The site remains forested with dense undergrowth; consequently, only approximate dimensions can be provided until further excavations are conducted. The principal characteristics of the site are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1
Morphometric and spatial characteristics of the Kakasvár site

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Total site area	2006 m ²	Inner circular area	~496 m ²
Highest elevation of inner area (m, a.s.l.)	102.8	Outer circular + moat area	1510 m ²
Lowest elevation of outer area (m, a.s.l.)	100.7	Percentage of inner area	~24.7%
Elevation difference (inner–outer)	2.1 m	Length of semicircular ridge	~60 m

Based on the GIS-derived morphology, the site appears to consist of a near-circular central platform enclosed by a surrounding moat and an outer, topographically elevated zone (Figure 5). The inner plateau represents the feature's highest ground, forming a relatively level surface that contrasts with the adjacent terrain, while the moat constitutes a pronounced and continuous depression encircling it. This concentric arrangement enhances the visual and morphological coherence of the feature. A semicircular ridge borders the circular form along a north–south sector. The circular feature is sharply separated from the outer ridge, indicating deliberate detachment, and a connecting sector on the western side appears to have developed secondarily possibly through the deposition of eroded material. Although the site's position might initially suggest an alluvial origin, its morphology and structural relationships may be consistent with anthropogenic modification. The proximity of abandoned channel forms suggests that past hydrological processes significantly influenced the local microrelief, contributing to sediment redistribution and subtle elevation contrasts. At the same time, the position of the landform on a slightly elevated fan remnant would have provided comparatively stable ground conditions within an otherwise flood-prone setting.

The present description is based exclusively on geomorphological observations and spatial relationships; therefore, it does not constitute archaeological proof in itself. Rather, it provides a terrain-based interpretation of the feature's form and environmental context. While the morphological characteristics may suggest anthropogenic modification, such an assessment remains hypothetical at this stage. The feature may be of anthropogenic origin, but its function and chronology require confirmation through targeted subsurface investigation and systematic archaeological verification.

The surveyed area was designated as *Kakasvár* based on the name appearing in the urban development plan of Ónod. The site was further validated using the map from 1791 (HU MNL BAZML IV.501.e. 2393/1791) by the National Archives of

Hungary, which shows a precise correspondence with the location. Based on the analysis of archival and digital sources, the identified area may have held regional significance. Its spatial relationship to flood inundation limits and to Hídvég Church may indicate a potential association with river crossing locations along the Sajó, potentially contributing to the socio-economic functioning of the surrounding landscape. The precise localization of the Kakasvár thus provides a more reliable spatial framework for interpreting its role within the regional context.




Figure 5
Structure of Kakasvár and north-south longitudinal elevation profile

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Despite several centuries of scholarly research, the reconstruction and interpretation of the Battle of Muhi and its contemporary settlement network continue to pose significant challenges to researchers in the field. The aim of the present study was to demonstrate how Archaeo-GIS methodologies based on high-resolution topographic data and complex spatial analyses can contribute to a more precise understanding of spatial and environmental relationships, thereby contributing a methodological

perspective that may support ongoing research into the Battle of Muhi and the 13th-century regional settlement system. In a geomorphologically subtle floodplain environment, the precise localisation of the Kakasvár presents considerable methodological challenges without high-resolution topographic modelling and systematic hydrological analysis. Previous investigations, relying primarily on historical maps, surface observations, and approximate spatial correlations, therefore faced inherent limitations in achieving spatial precision.

The integration of high-resolution LiDAR-derived DEMs, flood inundation data, Relative Elevation Models (REM), and hydrological indices enabled the identification of subtle terrain features that are not discernible through traditional cartographic sources or conventional field observation and survey alone. In a low-relief floodplain environment such as the Sajó–Hernád Plain, even minor elevation differences of 1–2 m may have had substantial functional significance in terms of flood safety, accessibility, and visibility. The REM-based analysis proved particularly effective in highlighting isolated relict landforms embedded within an otherwise monotonous alluvial surface, enabling the clear spatial separation of the Kakasvár feature from surrounding geomorphological units. The applied workflow is transferable to other floodplain analysis along major river systems such as the Tisza and the Danube River, provided that DEM resolution is carefully selected and hydrological parameters are locally calibrated. In such environments, reliable identification of anthropogenic features is contingent upon the joint interpretation of relative elevation and flood dynamics rather than on morphological observation alone.

On the basis of the applied spatial terrain analysis, the identified landform at Kakasvár exhibits a combination of characteristics that may indicate anthropogenic modification. Its circular morphology, moat-like depression, outer elevated zone, and spatial detachment from surrounding sedimentary structures are difficult to explain solely by fluvial or aeolian processes. Such settings could potentially have provided favourable conditions for river crossing or controlled movement across the floodplain. The precise origin and potential chronological attribution of the feature require confirmation through future archaeological investigations.

In methodological terms, the study highlights the value of Archaeo-GIS approaches that move beyond descriptive mapping and apply analytically defined spatial criteria. The integration of flood inundation boundaries, stagnant flow zones, and relative elevation as interpretative variables offers a replicable workflow applicable to other lowland battlefield and settlement studies. At the same time, the research also underscores current limitations, particularly the need for higher-resolution subsurface data (e.g., Ground-penetrating radar [GPR], magnetometry) and systematic archaeological verification to complement GIS-based interpretations. While the exact function and chronology of the site remain open questions, the GIS-based analyses presented here provide a robust spatial and geomorphological toolkit for future investigations. The results support the view that interdisciplinary integration of archaeology, physical geography, and geoinformatics is essential for advancing the understanding of medieval conflict landscapes, especially in geomorphologically subtle lowland environments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to express our gratitude to dr. Tamás Pusztai and István Dobai for their professional support, and to Tamás Bodnár, Vice Director and Archivist of the Borsod-Abaúj-Zemplén County Archives, for providing the map materials necessary for the research. We also thank Fruzsina Félegyházi for her valuable assistance. Furthermore, we thank Envirosense Ltd. for providing the LiDAR survey data. We would also like to express our gratitude to the Mayor's Office of Ónod for their assistance.

REFERENCES

- Csoma J. (1972). *A Sajó hidrográfija*. Vízrajzi Atlasz Sorozat 13. Sajó. 1. Hidrográfia, geomorfolgia, VITUKI, Budapest, pp. 8–14.
- Dobai A., Dobos E. (2022). Térinformatikai eljárások alkalmazása a régészeti kutatások támogatásához *Új eredmények a műszaki föld-és környezettudományban*. A Műszaki Földtudományi Kar konferencia kiadványa, pp. 96–106. https://mfk.uni-miskolc.hu/files/20006/MFK2022kiadvany_vegleges.pdf
- Dobai A., Dobos E. (2023). *GIS a régészet szolgálatában: A Muhi-csata*. Az elmélet és gyakorlat találkozása a térinformatikában XIV, pp 360–361. https://giskonferencia.unideb.hu/arch/GIS_Konf_kotet_2023.pdf
- Dövényi Z. (2010). *Magyarország kistájainak katasztere*. MTA Földrajztudományi Kutató Intézet, Budapest, pp. 736–759. https://hungarian-geography.hu/konyvtar/kistaj/Kistaj_cim.pdf
- ÉMVIZIG (2014). *Nagyvízi mederkezelési terv készítése a Sajó-Tarna vízrendszerére*. (Szerződés száma: ÉM- VIZIG/Z1540370) A Takta-rendszer Szerencs vasúti híd- Sajó torkolat közötti szakaszának 08.NMT.08 tervszámú Nagyvízi Mederkezelési Terve. <https://docplayer.hu/25651211-Nagyvizi-mederkezesi-terv-keszítése-a-sajo-tarna-vizrendszerere.html>
- Franyó F. (1966). A Sajó-Hernád hordalékkúpja a negyedkori földtani események tükrében. *Földrajzi Értesítő*, 15, pp. 153–178.
- Gábris Gy. (1970). *A folyóvíz felszínalakító tevékenysége Magyarországon*. pp 54–58. https://tef.elte.hu/phocadownload/Gabris_folyoviz_felszinalakito_tevekenysege_Magyarorszagon.pdf
- Greco, S., Larsen, Eric & Mann, J. , Tuil, Jahalel & Lowney, C. (2008). Relative Elevation Topographic Surface Modelling of a Large Alluvial River Floodplain and Applications for the Study and Management of Riparian Landscapes. *Landscape Research*, 33, pp. 461–486. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01426390801949149>

- HGD 147/2010 – Hungarian Government Decree (2010). 147/2010. (IV. 29.) 2. § 18. Korm. rendelet a vizek hasznosítását, védelmét és kártételeinek elhárítását szolgáló tevékenységekre és létesítményekre vonatkozó általános szabályokról, hatályos: 2025. 09. 26.
- John, M. R., Callaway, J. C., Day, J. W. Jr. (1998). A relative elevation model for a subsiding coastal forested wetland receiving wastewater effluent. *Ecological Modelling*, Vol. 112, Issue 1, pp. 23–44, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800\(98\)00125-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(98)00125-2).
- Kairis, P. A., Rybczyk, John M. (2010). Sea level rise and eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) production: A spatially explicit relative elevation model for Padilla Bay, WA. *Ecological Modelling*, Vol. 221, 7, pp. 1005–1016. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2009.01.025>.
- Kiss P. (2018). *Térinformatikai módszerek alkalmazása a nagyvízi mederkezelési és a folyógazdálkodási tervek készítése során a Tisza folyó Tokaj-Tiszaiújváros közötti szakaszán*. Szakdolgozat, Műegyetem, pp. 43–47.
- Láng S. (1944). Geomorfológiai és hidrológiai tanulmányok Gömörben. III. A Sajó felső völgye és a rozsonyói medence. *Hidrológiai Közlöny*, 29, pp. 141–148.
- Laszlovszky J. (2003). Tatárjárás és régészet. In: *Tatárjárás. Nemzet és emlékezet*. Nagy Balázs (ed.). Budapest, Osiris, pp. 453–468.
- Laszlovszky J., Pow S., Pusztai T. (2014). A muhi csata és az 1241-es tatárjárás – Új régészeti és történeti megközelítések. Rosta Szabolcs – V. Székely György “*Carmen miserabile*” A tatárjárás magyarországi emlékei. *Tanulmányok Pálóczi Horváth András 70. születésnapja tiszteletére*. Kecskemét, Kecskeméti Katona József Múzeum, pp. 27–36.
- Laszlovszky J., Nagy B. (2023). Mederváltozás és kontinuitás a Sajó folyó középkori átkelőhelyeinél: A Muhi csatátér kutatásához kapcsolódó földrajzi és régészeti vizsgálatok. *Századok*, 156, 5, pp. 943–962.
- Laszlovszky J., Rácz T. (2020). Fémkeresős kutatások a Muhi csatátéren: Községi régészet, hadszínterek vizsgálata és a kapcsolódó módszertani kérdések. *Magyar Régészet*, 9 (4), pp. 70–80.
- Mike K., (1972). A Sajó geomorfológiája, In: *Sajó.I. Vízrajzi Atlasz sorozat 13. Hidrográfia , geomorfológia*. Budapest, VITUKI, pp. 15–26
- Négyesi L. (1997). A Muhi csata 1241 április 11. *Hadtörténeti Közlemények*, 2. sz, Műhely, <https://epa.oszk.hu/00000/00018/00001/pdf/04negyesi.pdf>
- Ónod Urban Development Plan (2014). *Ónod településszerkezeti terv a külterületre*. http://onod.hu/Rendezesi_terv/Regi/Onod_kulterulet.pdf
- P. Fischl K., Pusztai T. (2018). A dél-borsodi síkság bronzkori és középkori település struktúrájának összehasonlítása. In: In: Mérai, Dóra; Drosztmér, Ágnes;

- Lyublyanovics, Kyra; Rasson, Judith; Papp Reed, Zsuzsanna; Vadas, András; Zatykó, Csilla (szerk.). *Genius loci - Laszlovszky 60*. Budapest, Magyarország, Archaeolingua, 391 p. pp. 82–88., 7 p.
- P. Szabó S. (2022): *Hadtudomány: Kínai nyelvű mongol kő táblafelirat a Muhi csatáról*. pp.112–123. <https://doi.org/10.17047/HADTUD.2022.32.2.112>
- Pusztai T. (2014): Buzogánnyal, tarsollyal és késtok-merevítővel eltemetett halott a muhi csatából. In: “*Carmen miserabile*” *A tatárjárás magyarországi emlékei. Tanulmányok Pálóczi Horváth András 70. születésnapja tiszteletére*. Rosta Szabolcs, V. Székely György (eds.). Kecskemét, Kecskeméti Katona József Múzeum, pp. 141–150.
- Sarris, A. (2024). The polymorphism of archaeological GIS. Unfolding the archaeological dimensions of GIS . *Journal of Greek Archaeology*, 9, pp. 9–19. <https://archaeopresspublishing.com/ojs/index.php/JGA/article/view/2731>
- Somogyi S. (1961). Hazánk folyóhálózatának fejlődéstörténeti vázlata. *Földrajzi Közlemények*, 9, pp. 25–50.
- Vadász E. (1960). *Magyarország Földtana*. Budapest, Akadémia Kiadó, pp. 45–88.
- Wolf M. (2014). Régészeti adatok a muhi csata történetéhez. In: “*Carmen miserabile*” *A tatárjárás magyarországi emlékei. Tanulmányok Pálóczi Horváth András 70. születésnapja tiszteletére*. Rosta Szabolcs, V. Székely György (eds.). 69–80. Kecskemét, Kecskeméti Katona József Múzeum, pp. 69–80.